

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/914,192	INABA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non-Final

 (1) Steven S. Paik.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:


13, 24, and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The applicant has authorized to correct typographical errors and improper antecedent basis found in claims 13, 24, and 28.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)